CS498 Homework1

November 21, 2025

Gradescope Entry Code: PK5XK2

1 Problem 1 — Matrix-Form LPs & Programmatic Solvers

This problem is a warm-up to ensure you are comfortable (1) writing linear programs in **matrix** form, and (2) building simple LP solvers **programmatically** using **Gurobi**. You will complete both a **written component** and a **coding component**. The coding portion will be autograded on Gradescope, and **your code will be tested on multiple LPs**, not only the example shown in the setup.

1.1 Setup Example (for the Written Part Only)

Consider the linear program:

$$\max 3x + 2y$$
 s.t. $x + y \le 4$
$$2x + y \le 5$$

$$x \ge 0, y \ge 0.$$

This example is used **only** for the written portion. Your code must handle general LPs of the form:

$$\max \ c^{\top}x \quad \text{s.t. } Ax \leq b, \ x \geq 0.$$

1.2 Task 1 (Written): Matrix Form [30 Points]

Write the example LP above in matrix form $Ax \leq b$ and vector c, where:

- $x = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$,
- A is an $m \times 2$ matrix,
- b is length m,
- c is length 2.

Next, plot the feasible region, a few objective function level sets, and the visually point out the optimal solution of the LP.

Upload this to *Problem 0 Written* on Gradescope.

1.3 Programming Tasks (Autograded)

Submit a file named hw1_p1_lp.py containing the three functions defined below. Your code will be tested on several different LPs; do not hard-code the example.

Import NumPy and Gurobi at the top of your file.

1.4 Function 1 — Build a Gurobi Model [30 Points]

1.5 Function 2 — Solve an LP Using Gurobi [10 Points]

```
def solve_with_gurobi(A, b, c):
    """
Input:
        A: (m × n) NumPy array
        b: length-m NumPy array
        c: length-n NumPy array

Builds the model using build_model(A, b, c),
        solves it with Gurobi, and returns (x_opt, obj_val):
        x_opt: optimal solution vector (NumPy array length n)
        obj_val: optimal objective value (float)
"""
```

This function must: - call your build_model function, - optimize the model, - extract the solution and objective value.

1.6 Function 3 — Enumerate 2D Polytope Vertices [30 Points]

```
def enumerate_vertices(A, b, c):
    """
    Enumerates all vertices (extreme points) of the 2D polytope
    P = { x in R^2 : A x <= b, x>=0 },
    and returns:
        vertices: list of NumPy arrays (each of length 2)
        best_x: vertex achieving max c^T x subject to A x <= b and x>=0 from vertices
        best_obj: the corresponding objective value of best_x

Assumptions:
        - A is an (m × 2) matrix.
        - Vertices are finite.

This must work for *arbitrary* 2D LPs, not just the example.
"""
```

You may use any correct geometric method (e.g., intersecting constraint pairs + feasibility checks). Consider the python function itertools.combinations.

1.7 Important Notes

1.7.1 Autograder

Your code will be tested on multiple randomly generated LPs of various sizes and shapes.

1.7.2 Requirements

- Submit hw1_p1_lp.py to Homework 1 Problem 1 on Gradescope.
- Do **not** submit additional files.
- Your functions must have *exactly* the names and signatures shown above.

2 Problem 2 — Primal and Dual: Two Sides of the Same Coin

Goal: Write the dual of a simple LP manually, solve both, and verify strong duality numerically.

2.1 Context

In class we saw that every LP in standard form

$$\max c^{\top} x$$
 s.t. $Ax \le b, x \ge 0$

has a dual LP

$$\min b^{\top} y$$
 s.t. $A^{\top} y \ge c, y \ge 0$,

and that both share the same optimal value when both are feasible.

2.2 Tasks

1. Consider:

$$\max 3x_1 + 5x_2 \quad \text{s.t. } \begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 8 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 8 \\ x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

- 2. Derive its dual manually.
- 3. Solve both LPs in Gurobi (Or Manually!).
 - Build two models (model_primal, model_dual).
 - Compare optimal values (ObjVal).
- 4. Interpret the result.
 - Verify Strong Duality (i.e. they're equal).

You should submit the following to Homework 1 Problem 2 on Gradescope: the primal optimal solution (x_1^*, x_2^*) , the corresponding primal optimal objective value, the dual optimal solution, the dual optimal objective value, and the code used to obtain these results (or the plots if you did it geometrically).

3 Problem 3: Visualizing Linear Programs in 2D

Before you trust solvers, you should *see* what they're doing. In this problem, you'll plot a simple 2-variable linear program, guess the optimal solution, and then ask gurobipy to confirm whether your intuition was right.

3.1 Scenario

Imagine you're designing a micro-factory that produces two products: **Gadgets** (x) and **Widgets** (y). Each consumes limited resources and generates profit.

You need to decide how many of each to produce under these linear constraints:

$$x + 2y \le 8$$
 (Resource A)
 $3x + y \le 9$ (Resource B)
 $x, y \ge 0$

and your goal is to **maximize** the total profit z = 3x + 2y.

3.2 Tasks

- 1. Plot the feasible region:
 - Draw each constraint line in Matplotlib.
 - Shade the feasible polygon defined by the inequalities.

2. Add objective lines:

- Draw a few "profit" lines 3x + 2y = c for different c (for example 6, 9, 10, ...).
- Visually slide the line upward and *predict* where it last touches the feasible region.
- 3. Visually guess the optimum: estimate (x^*, y^*) from your plot.
- 4. Verify with gurobipy:
 - Build and solve the LP in Python.
 - Print the optimal values and objective.
 - Mark the solver's optimal point on your plot.

5. Reflect:

- Does the solver's answer match your geometric intuition?
- Which constraints are "tight" at the optimum?

3.3 Deliverable

A plot showing: * The feasible region (shaded polygon) * A few objective lines * The optimal point from Guroby annotated * Write a sentence or two explaining how the geometry connects to what Gurobi reports.

You should submit your written answer to Homework 1 Problem 3 on Gradescope.

4 Problem 4: The Engineer's Diet Dilemma (Coding)

You've just joined *OptiMeal Inc.*, a startup building the world's most efficient meal-planning engine. The finance team insists on cutting costs, while the nutritionists demand balance.

Your job: use **linear programming** to design the **cheapest** daily meal plan that still meets basic nutritional requirements.

This is a **coding-only** problem. It will be autograded on Gradescope.

4.1 Dataset and Setup

We'll work with a small food dataset in a pandas DataFrame.

Each row is a food, each column is a property (price, calories, etc.):

- food_one_serving name of the food (string)
- price_usd_per_serving cost of one serving (float)
- calories_kcal
- protein_g
- carbs_g
- sugar_g
- fiber_g
- fat_g
- sodium_mg

Example (the autograder will build something like this for you):

```
import pandas as pd
from io import StringIO
```

```
csv = StringIO("""food_one_serving,price_usd_per_serving,calories_kcal,protein_g,carbs_g,sugar_chicken,1.80,128,24,0,0,0,2.7,44
banana,0.30,105,1.3,27,14,3.1,0.4,1
yogurt,0.90,104,5.9,7.9,7.9,0,5.5,70
beans,1.10,120,8,21,1,7,0.5,2
spinach,0.40,7,0.9,1.1,0.1,0.7,0.1,24
almonds,0.70,160,6,6,1,3,14,1
""")
```

```
df = pd.read_csv(csv)
```

Important: In your solution, do not read files from disk.

The autograder will create a DataFrame and pass it into your function.

4.2 Decision Variables

We decide how many **servings** of each food to eat in a day.

Let: - $x_i \ge 0$ = servings of food i (continuous, not necessarily integer) - There is one variable per row of the DataFrame.

You'll create these as Gurobi decision variables.

4.3 Objective: Minimize Cost

Minimize the **total** daily cost:

minimize
$$\sum_{i} \operatorname{price}_{i} x_{i}$$
.

Use the price_usd_per_serving column.

4.4 Nutritional Constraints

You must enforce:

- Minimums:
 - Total calories \geq calories_min
 - Total protein ≥ protein_min
 - Total fiber ≥ fiber_min
- Maximums:
 - Total sugar ≤ sugar_max
 - Total fat \leq fat_max
 - Total sodium \leq sodium_max

These requirements will be passed as a Python **dictionary**:

```
requirements = {
    "calories_min": 2000,
    "protein_min": 100,
    "fiber_min": 50,
    "sugar_max": 50,
    "fat_max": 120,
    "sodium_max": 2300,
}
```

Again, the exact numbers used in the autograder may differ, but the keys are the same.

4.5 What You Must Implement

Create a file named hw1_p4_diet.py that defines exactly this function:

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import gurobipy as gp
```

```
def solve_diet(df, requirements):
    Solve the diet LP:
        minimize total cost (USD)
        subject to daily nutritional requirements
    Inputs
    df : pandas.DataFrame
        Each row is a food. Must contain the columns:
        - "food_one_serving"
        - "price_usd_per_serving"
        - "calories_kcal"
        - "protein_q"
        - "fiber_g"
        - "sugar_q"
        - "fat_g"
        - "sodium mg"
    requirements : dict
        With keys:
        - "calories_min"
        - "protein_min"
        - "fiber_min"
        - "sugar_max"
        - "fat_max"
        - "sodium max"
    Outputs
    servings: np.ndarray, shape (len(df),)
        servings[i] is the number of servings of row i in df.
    min_cost : float
        The minimum total cost (objective value).
    # TODO: implement
    raise NotImplementedError
```

4.6 Requirements for your implementation

- Use **Gurobi** (gurobipy) to set up and solve the LP.
- Decision variables: one per food (each row of df), **continuous** and **0** (you can't eat a negative serving).
- Objective: minimize total cost using price_usd_per_serving.
- Constraints:

```
calories_kcal sum calories_min
protein_g sum protein_min
fiber_g sum fiber_min
sugar_g sum sugar_max
fat_g sum fat_max
sodium_mg sum sodium_max
Return:
servings: a NumPy array of length len(df)
min_cost: a float
```

You **may not** assume a specific number of foods. Your code should work for **any** DataFrame with the required columns.

4.7 Quick Intro: Using pandas for This Problem

You will typically access data like:

```
n = len(df)
                            # number of foods
prices = df["price_usd_per_serving"].values
                                             # NumPy array of length n
calories = df["calories_kcal"].values
protein = df["protein_g"].values
         = df["fiber_g"].values
fiber
         = df["sugar_g"].values
sugar
fat
         = df["fat_g"].values
         = df["sodium_mg"].values
sodium
You can index rows by integer position:
for i in range(n):
    price_i = prices[i]
    cals_i = calories[i]
    # ...
```

The autograder will call **only** your **solve_diet(df, requirements)** function. The problem is worth **100 points** (all from the autograder tests).

5 Problem 5: The LP Detective

A mysterious optimization has been solved. You've found the *answer*, but not the *question*.

You join **OptiSolve Forensics**, a consulting unit that reverse-engineers optimization problems from partial clues. Someone claims they solved a linear program, and the resulting point $x^* = (2,3)$ was "optimal." But the objective coefficients were lost.

Your mission: uncover which objectives could make that claim true, or expose their filthy lies to the light of day!

5.1 Setup

The only surviving file lists the constraints of the primal problem:

$$x + y \le 5 \qquad (1)$$
$$x + 3y \le 11 \qquad (2)$$
$$x \ge 0 \qquad (3)$$

$$y \ge 0 \tag{4}$$

The true objective was of the form max; $c_1x + c_2y$ for some unknown vector $c = (c_1, c_2)$.

5.2 Tasks

- 1. **Feasibility:** Verify whether the reported $x^* = (2,3)$ actually satisfies all constraints. If not, call out the lies!
- 2. Active-set geometry: Find which constraints are binding (equalities) at the feasible point x^* . Sketch the feasible region and label the active edges.
- 3. **Objective reconstruction:** Derive all objective vectors c that would make x^* optimal. (Hint: for a maximization problem with $Ax \leq b$, the valid c lie inside the cone generated by the active constraint normals.)
- 4. **Hypothesis testing:** Choose several candidate c vectors, some on the edges of that cone, some inside it, and resolve the LP using Gurobi. Which ones reproduce x^* ?

5.3 Deliverable

A concise report (and optional plots) showing:

- Identified active constraints
- One or more valid c vectors that make x^* optimal (plot c_1, c_2 and think of which c_1, c_2 cause x^* to be the optimal solution of the LP).
- A description of the set of vectors c that would make x^* optimal.
- Numerical verification from Gurobi that for some of your c vectors, x^* is indeed an optimal vector!
- Brief explanation of geometric intuition

6 Problem 6 — LP Escape Room: Diagnose Infeasible or Unbounded Models

You're trapped in **OptiLock Labs** with only a solver and its status code. Your goal: identify whether the model is **infeasible** or **unbounded**, and show proof.

6.1 Mission

Two short Gurobi models are provided:

- 1. One **infeasible** (contradictory constraints)
- 2. One **unbounded** (objective can increase forever)

Your task is to:

- 1. Classify each model as *infeasible* or *unbounded* (based on status $+ \log s$).
- 2. For the infeasible model, run computeIIS() and list the constraints/bounds that form the minimal conflicting set.
- 3. For the unbounded model, extract the unbounded direction vector using Var. UnbdRay.
- 4. Explain briefly what went wrong in each model and how to fix it.
- 5. Show your code in your answer!

6.2 Starter Code

```
import gurobipy as gp
from gurobipy import GRB
def scenario_1():
   m = gp.Model("scenario_1")
   m.Params.OutputFlag = 1  # show log
   m.Params.InfUnbdInfo = 1
                               # help produce an unbounded ray for LPs
    # Variables: x1, x2, x3 >= 0
   x1 = m.addVar(lb=0.0, name="x1")
   x2 = m.addVar(lb=0.0, name="x2")
   x3 = m.addVar(lb=0.0, name="x3")
    # Objective: maximize 3 x1 + x2
   m.setObjective(3 * x1 + x2, GRB.MAXIMIZE)
    # Constraints
   m.addConstr(x1 - x2 \le 5, name="c1")
   m.addConstr(2 * x1 + x3 >= 3, name="c2")
   m.addConstr(x2 + x3 >= 1, name="c3")
   m.optimize()
   return m
def scenario_2():
   m = gp.Model("scenario_2")
```

```
m.Params.OutputFlag = 1  # show log
m.Params.InfUnbdInfo = 1  # help produce an unbounded ray for LPs

# Variables: x1, x2, x3 >= 0
x1 = m.addVar(lb=0.0, name="x1")
x2 = m.addVar(lb=0.0, name="x2")
x3 = m.addVar(lb=0.0, name="x3")

# Dummy objective: minimize 0
m.setObjective(0 * x1 + 0 * x2 + 0 * x3, GRB.MINIMIZE)

# Constraints
m.addConstr(x1 + x2 + x3 >= 10, name="demand_total")
m.addConstr(x1 + x2 <= 3, name="cap_xy")
m.addConstr(x2 + x3 <= 3, name="cap_yz")

m.optimize()
return m</pre>
```

6.3 Deliverable

For each scenario:

Item	What to include
Status + Classification	$\texttt{m.Status} \rightarrow \texttt{INFEASIBLE} \text{ or UNBOUNDED}$
Evidence	From solver log (e.g., "Unbounded model" or "IIS found")
Proof	• If infeasible \rightarrow list names from c.IISConstr, v.IISLB, v.IISUB • If
Fix idea	unbounded \rightarrow print nonzero v.UnbdRay values One line explaining the modeling mistake (missing bound, contradictory constraint, etc.)

- Use m. Status and constants in gp.GRB (GRB.INFEASIBLE, GRB.UNBOUNDED).
- For IIS:

```
m.computeIIS()
[c.ConstrName for c in m.getConstrs() if c.IISConstr]
```

• For UnbdRay:

```
{v.VarName: v.UnbdRay for v in m.getVars() if abs(v.UnbdRay) > 1e-9}
```

Deliver a concise write-up (½ page) with the classifications, supporting evidence (IIS / UnbdRay), and a one-sentence fix for each case.